

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/28/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET	NO. CONFIRMATION NO.
09/754,969	01/05/2001	Evan S. Huang	2276-02	3976
26797 7	590 08/28/2003			
SILICON VALLEY PATENT AGENCY, INC.			EXAMINER	
7394 WILDFL CUPERTINO,			BASHORE, WILLIAM L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2176	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7

·					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	9/754,969 HUANG, EVAN S.				
navious y nauen	Examiner	Art Unit	+		
	William L. Bashore	2176			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 30 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same of this application in the same of the sa	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	ension fee under (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	•				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	implifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.		
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	etion(s):				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	d amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NC	OT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)

10. Other: ____

Claim(s) objected to: _____.
Claim(s) rejected: _____.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) \square approved or b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding Applicant's arguments, it is respectfully noted that three items are displayed within Figure 3B of Applicant's disclosure, "an output presentation", "a DTD", and "a derived tree structure". The examiner respectfully notes that Kuwahara teaches a document, along with a DTD and associated tree structure (Kuwahara Figures 2, 11). Although the DTD/tree of Figure 2 is not specifically displayed to a user during runtime, Arn teaches simultaeous display to a user of a document, along with an associated DTD, represented as a (3D) tree structure (the tree structure derives from the DTD) (Arn Figures 1, 3, page 8 lines 10-20, esp. lines 14-16).

The examiner also respectfully observes that the DTD and tree structure of Applicant's disclosure Figure 3B (right pane) is reflective o two branches off a main root (Test1), therefore, both said items are branches of a single tree structure.

Applicant argues that the tree structure is generated based upon a root element selected among the document elements. The examiner respectfully notes that Arn displays a DTD with tree structure, said structure based upon the root element of item "DOC" (Arn Figure 1 item 6)...